## **Department of Agriculture**

## Theft of \$8,702.15 by the Administrative Secretary of the Henderson County Soil Conservation District

February 2000

### Arthur A. Hayes, Jr., CPA, JD, CFE

Director

Glen McKay, CIA, CFE

Assistant Director

Chas Taplin, CPA, CFE

Audit Manager

Jim Intermaggio, JD

Audit Investigator

**Amy Brack** Editor

#### February 2, 2000

The Honorable Don Sundquist, Governor and
Members of the General Assembly
State Capitol
Nashville, Tennessee 37243
and
The Honorable Dan Wheeler, Commissioner
Tennessee Department of Agriculture
Ellington Agricultural Center
Nashville, Tennessee 37204-0627

#### Ladies and Gentlemen:

Transmitted herewith is a special report on the misappropriation of \$8,702.15 from the Henderson County Soil Conservation District (Soil District), by the Soil District's former administrative secretary. The matter was reviewed by Division of State Audit staff.

Based on Ms. Stanford's statements to the Soil District's Executive Committee and preliminary findings of an inquiry made by Mr. John L. Roberts, Chairman of the Soil District's Board of Supervisors, an auditor conducted an interview with Ms. Stanford on August 17, 1999. That interview resulted in Ms. Stanford admitting to knowingly misappropriating at least \$8,656.02 in Soil District funds over a one-year period beginning approximately in January 1998. Ms. Stanford stated that she effectuated her misappropriation by writing Soil District checks naming herself as payee, signing the checks in her signatory capacity, and then negotiating the checks as the endorser. Based on presently available information, Ms. Stanford negotiated 27 such checks drawn on the Soil District's account, totaling \$8,702.15. The Soil District terminated Ms. Stanford's employment on August 26, 1999.

The Soil District receives both state and county funding to encourage and assist local farmers' efforts to curb erosion of soil resources. It also holds an annual tree sale to provide affordable saplings for farmers to plant in an effort to maintain healthy soil levels. Monies received from the government sources and the sale of trees are deposited into a single checking account at FirstBank, in Lexington, Tennessee. The signatories on that account were the treasurer of the Soil District's Board of Directors and Ms. Stanford—both of their signatures were required to make a Soil District check negotiable. However, in an effort to allow Ms. Stanford to more efficiently attend to her check-issuing and bill-paying responsibilities, the board allowed its treasurer to affix his signature to blank checks so that, in effect, only Ms. Stanford's be signature necessary when check had to written. would be

When several farmers complained that they had not received the checks they were promised by the Soil District, for completion of "best management practices" projects, Mr. Roberts immediately proceeded to obtain a current bank statement. His comparison of that statement to Ms. Stanford's report to the board, revealed a balance that was more than \$3,600 less than she had reported. The Soil District Board's Executive Committee held a special meeting to obtain an explanation for the discrepancy from Ms. Stanford. She, at first, stated that she could not offer an explanation, but soon after admitted to the theft of Soil District funds in the amount of \$3,660, the difference between what she had reported and what the actual balance was. The Executive Committee immediately placed Ms. Stanford on unpaid administrative leave pending the results of our review. Review of the activity records of the Soil District's account, for the period January 1997 through July 1999 revealed that improper checks totaling more than \$8,700 could be attributed to Ms. Stanford.

Ms. Stanford claims to have made several cash deposits to the Soil District's account in efforts to replace part of what she had taken. Mr. Roberts confirmed that two such deposits were made by Ms. Stanford on June 25, 1999. Those deposits totaled \$2,000. No other cash deposits could be conclusively attributed to Ms. Stanford, because they were in small dollar amounts consistent with regular account activity.

On September 29, 1999, our office submitted information regarding this matter to the Office of the District Attorney General, 26<sup>th</sup> Judicial District (Henderson County). This matter was then presented to a Henderson County Grand Jury on October 4, 1999. That Grand Jury handed down a True Bill (indictment) on a theft charge. In a December 3, 1999, court appearance, Ms. Stanford, represented by counsel, filed a motion requesting pre-trial diversion. A Probation Department investigation is currently underway to determine whether pre-trial diversion may be appropriately granted to Ms. Stanford.

Ms. Stanford has already agreed to make full restitution to the Soil District. Prior to the discovery of her misappropriation, Ms. Stanford had returned \$2,000 by cash deposits to the Soil District's bank account. That amount, combined with the \$387 paycheck that was withheld, resulted in a balance of \$6,315.15 left unpaid as of the date of her indictment. On October 7, 1999, she made a partial restitution payment of \$4,000 to the court, and on November 10, 1999, she paid the remaining \$2,315.15 as well as \$307 in court costs. The initial payment was deposited to the Soil District account on October 18, 1999, but the second payment had not been transferred from the court to the Soil District as of this date.

Sincerely,

John G. Morgan Comptroller of the Treasury

JGM/jqi

State of Tennessee

## Audit Highlights

Comptroller of the Treasury

Division of State Audit

Special Report
Department of Agriculture
Theft of \$8,702.15 by the Administrative Secretary of the Henderson County Soil Conservation District February 2000

### REVIEW OBJECTIVES

The objective of our review was to determine whether Ms. Melody Stanford, Administrative Secretary, Henderson County Soil Conservation District (Soil District), had misappropriated funds from the Soil District's checking account and attempted to conceal her improper activity by falsifying financial records and official reports.

#### RESULTS OF THE REVIEW

Presently available information indicates that Ms. Stanford knowingly misappropriated 27 checks drawn on the Soil District's account, totaling \$8,702.15, over a one-year period beginning approximately in January 1998. Ms. Stanford admitted to the theft of these funds and explained that she effectuated her misappropriation by writing Soil District checks naming herself as payee, signing the checks in her signatory capacity, negotiating the checks as the endorser, and falsifying the Soil District's check register and internal financial reports to conceal her activity.

Ms. Stanford provided the auditor with a signed agreement admitting to misappropriating "at least \$8,656.02" (a preliminary figure) of Soil District funds and authorizing the withholding of her final paycheck as partial restitution. Henderson County thereafter withheld her \$387 final paycheck. An allocation of emergency funds, by the Department of Agriculture, allowed the Soil District to continue operations in the absence of the missing funds. The Soil District terminated Ms. Stanford's employment on August 26, 1999.

On September 29, 1999, the Division of State Audit submitted information regarding this matter to the Office of the District Attorney General, 26<sup>th</sup> Judicial District (Henderson County). And on October 4, 1999, this matter was presented to a Henderson County Grand Jury.

After being indicted by the Grand Jury, Ms. Stanford made two restitution payments, totaling the full amount in controversy, to the court. Prior to the discovery of her misappropriation, Ms. Stanford had returned \$2,000 by cash deposits to the Soil District's bank account. That amount, combined with the \$387 paycheck that was withheld, resulted in a balance of \$6,315.15 left unpaid as of the date of her indictment. On October 7, 1999, Ms. Stanford made a partial restitution payment of \$4,000 to the court, and on November 10, 1999, she paid the remaining \$2,315.15 as well as \$307 in court costs. The initial payment was deposited to the Soil District account on October 18, 1999, but the second payment had not been transferred from the court to the Soil District as of February 1, 2000.

# Department of Agriculture Theft of \$8,702.15 by the Administrative Secretary of the Henderson County Soil Conservation District February 2000

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
INTRODUCTION	1
Origin of the Review	1
Objectives of the Review	1
Scope of the Review	1
Background	1
DETAILS OF THE REVIEW	2
RECOMMENDATIONS	4
EXHIBIT A	5
EXHIBIT B	6
EXHIBIT C	8
EXHIBIT D	9

# Department of Agriculture Theft of \$8,702.15 by the Administrative Secretary of the Henderson County Soil Conservation District February 2000

#### INTRODUCTION

#### **ORIGIN OF THE REVIEW**

On August 16, 1999, the Division of State Audit was contacted by Department of Agriculture staff who provided information regarding possible misappropriation of state funds by Ms. Melody Stanford, an administrative secretary for the Henderson County Soil Conservation District (Soil District). The allegations involved repeated instances of the embezzlement of funds from the Soil District's bank account over the immediately preceding 16-month period. Because those acts resulted in the misappropriation of monies belonging to an institution that is primarily supported by state funds, the Division of State Audit investigated the matter pursuant to *Tennessee Code Annotated*, Sections 8-4-110 and 8-4-207.

#### **OBJECTIVE OF THE REVIEW**

The objective of our review was to determine whether Ms. Melody Stanford, administrative secretary for the Soil District, had misappropriated funds from the Soil District's checking account and attempted to conceal her improper activity by falsifying financial records and official reports.

#### SCOPE OF THE REVIEW

Division of State Audit staff, in conjunction with Soil District staff, reviewed relevant Soil District bank account records, check registers, and financial statements. The auditor also conducted an interview with Ms. Stanford to discuss the matter.

#### **BACKGROUND**

The Henderson County Soil Conservation District is organized under the authority of the Tennessee Soil and Water Conservation District Act of 1939. Its main office is located in Lexington, Tennessee. The Soil District was established in July 1951 and includes the entire 616 square miles within the boundaries of Henderson County. The work of the Soil District is directed by a Board of Supervisors. Of the five supervisors on that board, three are elected to their positions by Henderson County landowners and two are appointed by the State Soil Conservation Committee. All of the supervisors serve three-year terms and receive no compensation. The Soil District also receives assistance from a four-person Advisory Board and receives technical assistance from the United States Department of Agriculture's Natural Resources Conservation Service.

The Soil District receives county and state funding to encourage and assist local farmers' efforts to curb erosion of soil and other natural resources. In its efforts to fulfill its mission, the Soil

District provides grants to Henderson County farmers who complete certain conservation projects on their land, or utilize certain farming methods, known as "best management practices." The Soil District also holds an annual tree sale to provide affordable saplings for farmers to plant in an effort to maintain healthy soil levels. Monies received from the government sources and the sale of trees are deposited in a single checking account, and the signatories on that account were the treasurer of the Soil District's Board of Supervisors and Ms. Stanford—both of their signatures were required to make a Soil District check negotiable.

#### DETAILS OF THE REVIEW

After the Division of State Audit was contacted by Department of Agriculture, on August 16, 1999, the auditor determined that sufficient information existed to indicate that Ms. Melody Stanford misappropriated \$8,702.15 from the Soil District's checking account. [See Exhibit A]. As noted above, the signatories on that account were the treasurer of the Soil District's Board of Directors, Mr. James Overman, and the Soil District's administrative secretary, Ms. Stanford—both of their signatures were required to make a Soil District check negotiable. As a practical matter, however, Ms. Stanford had complete control of the Soil District's finances. According to Mr. John L. Roberts, chairman of the Soil District's Board of Directors, Ms. Stanford took care of all daily operations, including mail processing, filing, and book-keeping. In an effort to allow Ms. Stanford to more efficiently attend to her check-issuing and bill-paying responsibilities, the board allowed Mr. Overman to affix his signature to blank checks so that, in effect, only Ms. Stanford's signature would be necessary when a check had to be written.

On August 3, 1999, a meeting of the Soil District's Board of Directors took place. After that meeting, Mr. Gary Blackwood, USDA liaison to the Soil District, met with Mr. Roberts to explain that several farmers had complained that they had not received the checks they were promised for completion of "best management practices" projects. In an effort to determine if a problem existed, Mr. Roberts immediately proceeded to obtain a current bank statement. His comparison revealed a discrepancy between the balance shown on that statement and the balance Ms. Stanford reported at the August 3 board meeting. The actual balance was more than \$3,600 less than the balance she had reported to the board.

The following morning, August 4, 1999, the Soil District Board's Executive Committee held a special meeting to obtain an explanation for the discrepancy from Ms. Stanford. At that time, according to Mr. Roberts, Ms. Stanford stated that she could not offer an explanation and could not provide the checkbook for the board to examine because the checkbook was at her home and she did not have a key for her house that day. The Executive Committee insisted that she produce the checkbook by one o'clock that afternoon. After that morning meeting, Mr. Roberts returned to the bank and obtained an activity report for the account. When later confronted with that activity report, by Mr. Roberts, Ms. Stanford produced the checkbook and admitted to the theft of Soil District funds in the amount of \$3,660, the difference between what she had reported and the actual balance. The Executive Committee immediately placed Ms. Stanford on unpaid administrative leave pending the results of an investigation.

Based on Ms. Stanford's statements to the Soil District's Executive Committee and a preliminary finding by Mr. Roberts, which indicated that the extent of the theft exceeded what Ms.

Stanford had acknowledged, a state auditor conducted an interview with Ms. Stanford on August 17, 1999. That interview resulted in Ms. Stanford signing an affidavit admitting to knowingly misappropriating \$8,656.02 in Soil District funds over a one-year period beginning approximately in January 1998 [Exhibit B]. That figure was a preliminary amount determined by Mr. Roberts' review. Ms. Stanford also provided the auditor with a signed agreement admitting to the misappropriation of "at least \$8,656.02" [Exhibit C] of Soil District funds and authorizing the withholding of her final paycheck as partial restitution. Ms. Stanford stated that she effectuated her misappropriation by writing Soil District checks naming herself as payee, signing the checks in her signatory capacity, and then negotiating the checks as the endorser. [See Exhibit D.] The auditor found that Ms. Stanford negotiated 27 such checks drawn on the Soil District's account, totaling \$8,702.15. [See Exhibit A.] Based on that finding, the Soil District terminated Ms. Stanford's employment on August 25, 1999.

Review of the activity records of the Soil District's account for the period January 1997 through July 1999 revealed no other improper checks that could be attributed to Ms. Stanford. Ms. Stanford claimed to have made several cash deposits to the Soil District's account in efforts to replace part of what she had taken. Mr. Roberts confirmed that two such deposits were made by Ms. Stanford on June 25, 1999. Those deposits, totaling \$2,000, were confirmed by the auditor. No other cash deposits could be conclusively attributed to Ms. Stanford because they were in small dollar amounts consistent with regular account activity.

After crediting the \$2,000 cash deposit, the net loss to the Henderson County Soil District amounts to \$6,702.15 [See Exhibit A.] Although Ms. Stanford's \$387 paycheck was withheld by the county, the county did not make those funds available to the Soil District. On August 20, 1999, Henderson County made a regularly budgeted payment of \$3,225 to the Soil District for operating expenses. The Soil District used \$2,779.88 of those funds to make the remaining payments to the farmers to whom payments were owed. On September 13, 1999, the Department of Agriculture deposited \$13,463.70 into the Soil District's account. Of those funds, \$1,734.91 was an emergency allotment that allowed the Soil District to pay the salary of its one paid employee in the absence of the missing funds. And on November 12, 1999, the Department of Agriculture deposited emergency funds totaling \$2,779.88 into the Soil District's account. That money was used to replace the operating expense funds used to pay the aforementioned farmers.

On September 29, 1999, the Division of State Audit submitted information regarding this matter to the Office of the District Attorney General, 26<sup>th</sup> Judicial District (Henderson County). This matter was then presented to a Henderson County Grand Jury on October 4, 1999. On that same day, the Grand Jury handed down a True Bill (indictment) on a theft charge. In a December 3, 1999, court appearance, Ms. Stanford, represented by counsel, filed a motion requesting pre-trial diversion. A Probation Department investigation is currently underway to determine whether pre-trial diversion may be appropriately granted to Ms. Stanford.

Ms. Stanford has agreed to make full restitution to the Soil District. Prior to the discovery of her misappropriation, Ms. Stanford had returned \$2,000 by cash deposits to the Soil District's bank account. That amount, combined with the \$387 paycheck that was withheld, resulted in a balance of \$6,315.15 left unpaid as of the date of her indictment. On October 7, 1999, she made a partial restitution payment of \$4,000 to the court, and on November 10, 1999, she paid the remaining \$2,315.15 as well as \$307 in court costs. The initial payment was deposited to the Soil District account on October 18, 1999, but the second payment had not been transferred from the court to the Soil District as of January 14, 2000.

#### RECOMMENDATIONS

The review resulted in the following recommendations:

- 1. Department management should, to the extent possible, exercise greater oversight of the Soil District's fiscal operations. Such oversight should include requiring the submission of monthly bank reconciliations and financial reports to the department.
- 2. The Board of Supervisors' treasurer should examine all bank statements, reconcile checks issued with checks negotiated, and reconcile bank statements to financial reports
- 3. The Soil District Board of Directors should not allow circumvention of controls, such as the pre-signing of checks that require dual signatures.
- 4. The Soil District Board of Directors should consult with the Soil District's bank and explore alternative checking formats that might provide a better audit trail, such as carbon copies of the original checks.

#### EXHIBIT A

#### SCHEDULE OF ALL IMPROPER CHECKS WRITTEN AND NEGOTIATED BY MS. STANFORD

#### All Improper Checks With Melody Stanford As Payee

#### Check No. No. Date Amount 1 368 04/24/98 \$307.42 2 371 05/27/98 \$343.92 3 372 06/05/98 \$200.34 4 374 06/16/98 \$247.31 5 375 06/23/98 \$307.42 6 379 07/27/98 \$650.00 7 381 07/28/98 \$302.04 387 08/10/98 \$427.09 9 388 08/11/98 \$275.00 10 391 08/25/98 \$544.00 11 392 08/26/98 \$307.43 12 394 09/01/98 \$305.42 13 396 09/08/98 \$257.35 14 397 09/23/98 \$484.00 15 398 10/06/98 \$210.94 16 401 10/22/98 \$313.24 17 402 11/09/98 \$165.00 18 404 11/17/98 \$650.00 19 415 12/14/98 \$380.42 20 416 12/24/98 \$400.00 21 418 01/21/99 \$366.00 22 420 01/26/99 \$178.06 23 424 03/02/99 \$108.00 24 439 07/07/99 \$167.90 25 441 07/07/99 \$153.50 26 445 08/03/99 \$350.25 27 446 08/03/99 \$300.10

Total \$8,702.15 Total of all improper checks with Ms. Stanford as Payee

- \$2,000.00 Deposits made by Ms. Stanford to Soil District account
- \$387.00 Paycheck withheld by county \*

#### \$6,315.15 DESIRED RESTITUTION TO SOIL DISTRICT

- \$4,000.00 Paid as partial restitution to the criminal court on October 7, 1999 and returned to the Soil District on October 18, 1999
- \$2,315.15 Paid Balance of Restitution to the criminal court on November 10. 1999

#### \$6,315.15 RESTITUTION PAID BY MELODY STANFORD

<sup>\*</sup>Although withheld from Ms. Stanford, funds from this paycheck had not been passed to the Soil District as of 11-15-99 The County informed the Soil District that the money would be properly transferred to them at the next budget meeting.

## Ехнівіт В

(PAGE 1 OF 2)
SWORN STATEMENT OF MELODY STANFORD, DATED 8/17/99, IN WHICH SHE ADMITS TO AND DESCRIBES HER MISAPPROPRIATION OF \$8656.02 IN SOIL DISTRICT FUNDS

-State of Tennessee	STATE OF TENNESSE
County of Handiesta	172
I. Welsdy Starfna	, state tha
I reside at 91 Sutt St. 412-31-0276	<u></u>
I wish to Cooperate fully and	pry buch
all amounts that I have	inproperly
taken from they Genderson (x.	. 2
Conservation Sistrict of Allen	of did
approx. 1 lg. leasuring fan. 1996	He Cheche
to myself thom the account of	
Wenderson Co. Sirl Conservat	in Vitra
I understand that Writing the	<b>7!</b>
was an manthorized, toking	, ,
District Ands! I agree the	t the
total ant. of Chicked is	86.56.02
I used it to pay hills,	leny_
groceries and activities with	my Don.
Dusel it to pay hills, processes and activities with The lawyet Chich of wrote was for 600-900. I ottemp	le mysly
was for 600-900. I stlenp	Ted 18
desquise my activity ling of the Check resister. I also to	Weshindilla
the Check Register, I also	O. of Reps
activities by Diritary frade	undständ

•	2 e/ c
1 7	STATE OF TENNESSEE
that my active	ies have delayed payments
to sonticionals	of phonon. I also
lander stand that	my actions were wrong
liam to the	The State of County
ases of honey	phonide ly State & County
Jources all	hot keep a specie
NO CONTROL OF TREE	re of amounts taken but
Always had a	Seneral edica on the
Sund, and ful	ex intended to give back
I die Donte	t ( the shotred to
had dessile	drow high own Linds to
A O tita	from my own finds to count I accumulated those
the property that	Andl anouts of ing Check
Bunds lin Harring	The half die Take any
المه المراجع والمراجع	le misul & marie
(fund and us oth	in plason has knowledge
	•
	sting of pages, each of which I have signed. I fully understand this pages the best of my knowledge and belief. I made the corrections shown and
placed my initials opposite each.	ily without any threats or rewards, or promises of reward having been made
to me in return for it.	(M
to me in recommend	Mulody Storford
	(Signature of attracts)
Subscribed and sworn to before me this	
day of 19	
al	_
(Signature)	
	3 8/11
	(Signature of witness, if any)
	John 20 min
•	WITH UTTOESS

#### **EXHIBIT C**

AGREEMENT DATED 8/17/99 WHEREIN MS.
STANFORD ADMITS TO THE
MISAPPROPRIATION OF "AT LEAST
\$8656.02," AND AGREES TO THE
WITHHOLDING OF HER FINAL PAYCHECK

## DISCLAIMER AGREEMENT AND RECEIPT

This agreement is by and between Hendresca	1 Co. Suc GNSFECHTION DISTRICT
hereinafter referred to as "the agency," and MEZODY	
hereinafter referred to as "employee."	•
As a direct result of actions undertaken by t	he employee, the agency has suffered
financial harm in the amount of at lead \$8656.09. The	he agency hereby acknowledges receipt
of, and the employee authorizes the agency to withhold	the following payments to which he/she
may be otherwise entitled: Any Soms Due 1	ME AS SILARY WAGES COMP
TIME SICK OR ANNUAL LEAVE, REIN	MBURSEMENTS OR OTHER
•	
	•
The employee fully understands that release of his	s/her rights to the payments listed above
in no way bars the agency from proceeding against empl	loyee by criminal prosecution, civil suit
at law or in equity, or any other agency disciplinary ac	tion or proceedings. Employee hereby
expressly renounces and disclaims any right or interes	st he/she may have to allege that the
transfer of employee's rights to the payments listed abo	ve constitutes a release from criminal,
civil, or agency responsibility, accountability or liability f	or the loss suffered by the agency.
1	_
Dated this 17 day of WOUST	_, 19 <u>.4 ?</u> .
011014	n. l.
By: Josh & Robot	- Milody Stonfied
(Agency Representative)	(Employee's Signature)
·	
(Witness)	Subscribed to and Sworn before me
	This day of, 19
	(Notary public)
	(Adde.) gabile)
My Co.	mmission Expires:
U	1253

#### EXHIBIT D

EXAMPLE OF A SOIL DISTRICT CHECK IMPROPERLY ISSUED AND NEGOTIATED BY MELODY STANFORD. NOTE THAT CHECK IS PAYABLE TO MS. STANFORD BUT IS ALLEGEDLY FOR THE PURPOSE OF RENTING A DRILL.

02-First Bank 7/7/99 DIN: 5-49-1820 Account:301418 Amount:\$ 167.90 Check #:439

	HENDERSON COUNTY BOW 87-70441
	CONSERVATION DIST. 439
	80-G 8. BROAD LEXINGTON, TN \$8381 7-6-1999
	PAYTOTHE Melody Starfold \$167.90
	One hundred + Digty Dewar 100 DOLLARS TITE
	O11FirstBank 070899 5400015521
	100 Will Kintale from LOuverning
	1:0843070331: 30141 है। 0439 // विशेष्ठी विशेष्ट्रिय
L	All to Land Land a